

District Accreditation Coordinating Committee  
February 24, 2021  
Notes (draft)

**Members & Others Present:** Jamey Nye, Julie Oliver, Kim Harrell, Francis Fletcher, Dyan Pease, Monica Pactol, Emily Bond, Ashu Mishra, Linsey Campbell, Betty Glycer-Culver, Bernadette Anayah, Adam Karp, Gayle Pitman, Albert Garcia, Steven McDowell, Patti Leonard, Bill Simpson

The Deputy Chancellor welcomed everyone and noted that the notes from the last meeting were available for review in Outlook. The agenda for today's meeting was reviewed and approved.

It was noted that the Vice President of the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, will not be joining the meeting today, but will be rescheduled to March or April if the group determines there is benefit to gain by her participation.

It was noted Sacramento City and Cosumnes had their local visit with the VP, and CRC noted that they asked her to clarify which topics should rise to the level of planning agenda items. The VP stated that colleges should be cautious about what they put on their planning agenda because it should only include items having to do with either not meeting the standard or items that were close to not meeting the standard. Other items should not be included in the report but could be kept on a separate internal document. The importance of keeping the district drafted sections identical was also noted. The Deputy Chancellor will follow up with the VP to clarify what sections need to be uniform and what sections can be tailored for each college in the ISER under evidence, analysis, and evaluation. Brevity and the elimination of redundancy was also encouraged. It was generally felt by the group that the evidence should be uniform but the analysis and evaluation could be tailored to the individual college. Folsom Lake and American River College will meet with the VP soon.

Regarding a possible future visit by VP of the ACCJC to a DACC meeting, it would be beneficial and time saving to have questions ahead of her visit and also if she could share information that was district specific since all of the colleges will have met with her. The VP will be invited to the April meeting of this group and that date could be eliminated if there is no benefit to meeting with her at that point in the process.

**Review College & District Timelines**

The Deputy Chancellor reviewed the timeline. It is planned that the ISERs will be approved by the Board of Trustees in November. The self-evaluations are sent to the ACCJC chord team in

January, and the team ISER review will occur the week of February 21. There will be focused site visits the week of October 10.

### **Quality Focus Updates**

SCC and FLC are still narrowing down what their QFE will highlight.

### **First Section of ISER/Data Source Uniformity**

Should the first section of the ISER (Introduction) include data that is aligned or specific approach? Should we have some agreement on what baseline type of information to show (such as Trend Lines, student profile and employee surveys) and then allow for a college specific data. It is also recommended that the data be preserved in PDF form instead of linked to a website because the data has to be saved/static for seven years. Cuesta College's document was shared. It was noted that the introductory section of the ISER lists several vague data fields. It was felt that these might be aligned metrics and are percentage targets established by Visions for Success. In the sections where we have a college specific lens, do we have agreement on which data points to share? Perhaps the District Research Council can make a recommendation on the standard source for this information. This group meets this Friday and will discuss and make a recommendation.

### **Other items**

Standard 3a. The colleges have responsibility for section 1, 7, 8, and 14. Clarifying what sections are shared. District is working on the other sections and should have information posted on the shared google drive.

In response to a question on standard 1.C 11. – it was noted that Study Abroad does not count under the section

Timeline – the timeline for district pieces of Standard III and IV were revised. Deadline will now be March 15<sup>th</sup> to get docs into the DACC shared google file.

Adjourned 2:48p